

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services. - Irrigation & CAD Department - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri M. Subrahmanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer, along with others for the irregularities in the execution of works of Yogi Vemana Reservoir Project (Maddileru) – Case entrusted to the COI - Inquiry report submitted - Further action dropped – orders - Issued.

IRRIGATION & CAD (SER.X-1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 730

Dated:25-06-2012

Read the following:

1. From the CE, Medium Irrigation, Lr.No. DCE3/OT-M2/AEE-3/ 2341/ 96-Vol.VI, dt. 11.09.2004 and 24.09.2004
 2. G.O.Rt.No.113, I & CAD(Ser.x.1) Dept., dt. 12.01.2006.
 3. WSSD of the charged officer, dt. 16.02.2006
 4. G.O.Rt.No.947, I & CAD(Ser.x.1) Dept., dt. 26.6.2006
 5. Govt.Memo.No.24985/SerX.1/2004- 16,Dated: 26-06-2006
 6. G.O.Rt.No.541, I & CAD(Ser.x.1) Dept., dt. 28.4.2008
 7. From the COI, D.O.Lr.No.669/COI-CK/A5/2008,dt.25.8.2008
 8. Govt.Memo.No.24985/SerX.1/2004- 21, Dated: 24.09.2008
 9. Reprn. of Sri M. Subrahmanyam, DEE, dt. 30-10-2008.
 - 10 Orders of Hon'ble APAT.dt. 22.-3.2-12 in O.A.No. 2202/ 12 filed by Sri M. Subrahmanyam, DEE.
- &&&

ORDER:

It was earlier brought to the notice of the Government that certain irregularities took place in the execution of Yogi Vemana Reservoir Project, Anantapur (Maddileru). It was reported that excessive seepage was occurring in the Drainage Gallery of the Spill way, and that the Bucket portion got damaged due to poor quality construction. To look into these issues, Government constituted an Expert committee in G.O.Rt.No.58, I&CAD (PW.NAB) Dept., dt.4.2.2002, The committee furnished its report, making certain recommendations. Based on these recommendations, the Chief Engineer, Medium Irrigation initiated rectificatory action, and also submitted the list of officers responsible for the deficiencies pointed out by the Expert Committee.

And whereas Government initiated disciplinary action against all the officers found responsible for the irregularities, duly serving Articles of Charges against them, inclusive of Sri M. Subrahmanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer.

After careful examination of the WSSDs of the Charged Officers, the case was entrusted to the COI for conducting regular inquiry in respect of the charges framed against the officers found responsible for the irregularities, inclusive of Sri M. Subrahmanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer, vide reference 4th & 6th read above.

In the reference 7th read above, the COI furnished his Inquiry Report, on the charges framed against Sri M. Subrahmanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer, along with other officers .In the reference 8th read above, the inquiry report was communicated to Sri M. Subrahmanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer, amongst others, with a direction to submit their representations if any on the findings of the inquiry officer.

In the reference 9th read above, Sri M. Subramanyam, the then Deputy Executive Engineer (QC) has submitted his representation requesting the Govt. to drop further action against him, as the charges framed against him are not proved.

In the reference 10th read above , Sri M. Subramanyam, Deputy Executive Engineer, O/o Chief Engineer (Projects), Anantapur has filed O.A No. 2202/12 in the APAT praying the Hon'ble Tribunal to direct the Respondents to pass orders exonerating from the charges and to consider his case for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer . The Hon'ble Tribunal while disposing the A.O at the admission stage, on 22-03-2012 have passed the orders as follows:

“ Heard both sides. It is evident from the allegations in O.A. that, the enquiry initiated against the applicant was concluded as long back as in the year 2008 by the enquiry officer. He submitted the enquiry report to the disciplinary authority. The disciplinary authority furnished a copy of the enquiry report to the applicant and called for his explanation. The applicant submitted his explanation. The enquiry officer found him not guilty of the charges and still the disciplinary authority has not passed the final orders on the enquiry officer's report, resulting denial of promotion to the applicant.

2. Hence, the disciplinary authority is directed to pass final orders on the enquiry report submitted by the enquiry officer and also consider and dispose of the representation of the applicant dt. 26.2.2012. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The O.A. is disposed of. No costs.”

Govt. after careful examination of the matter , and in pursuance of the orders of Hon'ble APAT, have decided to implement the directions of the Hon'ble court and to drop further action against Sri M. Subramanyam, the then Deputy Executive Engineer (QC) , and now Deputy Executive Engineer, O/o Chief Engineer (Projects), Anantapur, as charges are not held proved against him. Accordingly , hereby order to drop further action against Sri M. Subramanyam, the then Deputy Executive Engineer(QC) , and now Deputy Executive Engineer, O/o Chief Engineer,(Projects), Anantapur.

The Engineer-In-Chief (Admn. Wing) I &CAD Department, Hyderabad shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

SHAILENDRA KUMAR JOSHI
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Engineer-In-Chief (Admn. Wing) I & CAD Department, Hyderabad
Sri M. Subramanyam, formerly Deputy Executive Engineer(QC) ,
and now Deputy Executive Engineer,
O/o Chief Engineer,(Projects), Anantapur
Through the Engineer-In-Chief (Admn.Wing) Hyderabad.

Copy to:
The I&CAD(Ser-II) Deptt.,
SF/SC

//FORWARDED: : BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER